

Institute Ethics Committee (Human Studies)

**Standard Operating Procedures
Dharanidhar Medical College & Hospital, Keonjhar**



[APRIL 2023]

**Standard Operating Procedures (SOP)
for
Institutional Ethics Committee (IEC)
Dharanidhar Medical College and Hospital,
Keonjhar, Odisha-758001**

Version- 01

Date: 19.04.2023

SOP Prepared by: Prof. Dr. Suhasini Dehury, Member Secretary,
Dharanidhar Medical College & Hospital, Keonjhar.

Approved by: Prof. Dr. Chinmayi Mohapatra, Dean & Principal,
Dharanidhar Medical College & Hospital, Keonjhar.

CONTENTS

I.	Short Title	3
II.	Adoption of SOP	3
III.	Objective	3
IV.	Authority under which IEC- DDMCH is constituted	3
V	Role and responsibilities of IEC- DDMCH	3
VI	Composition of IEC- DDMCH	5
VII	Roles & Responsibilities of IEC Members	5
VIII	Policy regarding training of new and existing members	5
VIII	Quorum requirements	6
IX	Conduct of IEC- DDMCH meetings	6
X	Independent consultants	6
XI	Application Procedures	7
XII	Documentation	8
XIII	Review procedures	8
XIV	Aspect considered during review of research proposal	12
xv	Policy to monitor or prevent or conflict of interest	
XV	Decision-making	12
XVI	Communicating the decision	13
XVII	Follow up procedures for approved proposals by PI/Sponsor	13
XVIII	Responsibilities of sponsor / Investigator(s)	14
XIX	Record keeping and archiving at the office of IEC- DDMCH	16
XX	Updating IEC- DDMCH members	17
XXI	Terms of references	17
XXII	Administration and Management	18
XXIII	Special Considerations/ Protection of vulnerable population	18
	Annexure – 1A &1B	19-20
	Annexure – 2	21
	Annexure – 3A & 3B	22-30
	Annexure – 4A, 4B & 4C	31-33
	Annexure – 5	34
	Annexure – 6	35
	Annexure -- 7	36

I. Short Title: “Standard Operating Procedures for the Institutional ethics committee (IEC) of Dharanidhar Medical College & Hospital, Keonjhar, Odisha

II. Adoption of SOP:

Dharanidhar Medical College and Hospital, Keonjhar herein after referred as “DDMCH” has adopted these written Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) to ensure the protection of the rights and welfare of human participants in biomedical, experimental and behavioral research conducted at Dharanidhar Medical College & Hospital, Keonjhar, Odisha

III. Objective:

The objective of this Standard Operating Procedures of the Institutional ethics committee (IEC) of DDMCH is to maintain effective functioning of DDMCH-IEC and to ensure quality and technical excellence and consistent ethical review of all the submitted health and biomedical research proposals and ongoing approved research studies involving human participants in accordance with the ICMR ethical guidelines for biomedical research on human subjects.

IV. Authority under which AIIMS DDMCH-IEC is constituted:

The Dean & Principal of DDMCH will appoint the Chairperson and all the committee members based on their competence, experience and integrity by sending an official request letter (Annexure 1A & 1B). Members will confirm their acceptance to the Dean & Principal, by providing all the required information for membership (Annexure 2). The Chairperson will furnish any information or report to the Dean & Principal, if required.

V. Role and Responsibilities of DDMCH-IEC:

The IEC of DDMCH will review all types of research proposals involving human participants with a view to safeguard the dignity, rights, safety and well being of all actual and potential research participants before approving the research proposals. The goals of research, however important, should never be permitted to override the health and well being of the research subjects.

The IEC will ascertain whether all the cardinal principles of research ethics viz., Autonomy, Beneficence, Non – Malfeasance, Respect for Free and Informed Consent, Respect for Human Dignity, Respect for Vulnerable Persons, Respect for Privacy and Confidentiality and Justice are taken care of in planning, conducting and reporting of the proposed research. For this purpose, it will look into the aspects of protocol review, selection of participants, voluntary participation of potential participants, informed consent process, risk benefit ratio, distribution of burden and benefit, maintenance of privacy and confidentiality and provisions for appropriate compensations. It will review the proposals before the commencement of the study as well as review periodically until the completion of the study through appropriate well documented procedures. Such a review may be based on the periodic study progress reports furnished by the investigators and/or monitoring and internal audit reports furnished by the Sponsor and/or by visiting the study sites.

The mandate of the IEC shall be to review all research projects to be conducted at the Institution involving human beings directly or indirectly, irrespective of the funding agency.

DDMCH -IEC will provide advice to the researchers on all aspects of the welfare and safety of the research participants after ensuring the scientific soundness of the proposed research through appropriate Scientific Review Committee/ Research Committee.

In case an ethics committee revokes its approval accorded to a trial protocol, it will record the reasons for doing so and at once communicate such a decision to the Investigator as well as to the Licensing Authority.

In case of serious adverse event of death occurring to the clinical trial subject, the ethics committee shall forward it's report on the serious adverse event of death, after due analysis, along with its opinion on the financial compensation, if any, to be paid by the Sponsor or his representative, whosoever had obtained permission from the Licensing Authority as defined under rule 21(b) for conducting the clinical trial, to the Chairman of the Expert committee constituted by the Licensing authority under Appendix XII (gazette notification 30th January 2013) with a copy of the report to the Licensing Authority within twenty one calendar days of the occurrence of the serious adverse event of death. In case of serious adverse event, other than death occurring to the clinical trial subject, the ethics committee shall forward it's report on the serious adverse event after due analysis along with its opinion on the financial compensation, if any, to be paid by the sponsor of his representative, whosoever had obtained permission from the Licensing Authority for conducting the clinical trial, to the licensing authority within twenty one calendar days of the occurrence of the serious adverse event.

VI. Composition of DDMCH-IEC:

DDMCH-IEC will be a multidisciplinary and multi sectorial body in composition and independent. The number of members of the Review Board may range from 7 to 15.

The chairperson of the IEC will be from outside the Institution to maintain the independence of the Committee. The Member Secretary will belong to the same Institution and will conduct the business of the Committee. Other members will be a mix of medical / non-medical, legal, scientific and non-scientific persons and may also include members of public to reflect the differed points of view. Preferably, 50% of the members should be non-affiliated or from outside the Institution.

There will be representation of age and gender in the Committee to safeguard the interest and welfare of all sections of the society. Member should be aware of local, social and cultural norms, as this is an important social control mechanism. IEC may invite subject experts to take their views, whenever it is needed.

The IEC DDMCH will include

1. Chairperson – non-affiliated, A well respected person from any background with prior experience of having served / serving in an EC.
2. Member Secretary – Affiliated, should be a staff member of the Institution, should have knowledge And experience in clinical research, and ethics, be motivated having good communication skill.
3. One - two persons from basic medical science area (One pharmacologist compulsorily, one female scientist compulsory) – affiliated / non- affiliated, non-medical / medical person with qualification in basic medical sciences.
4. One - two clinicians from various Institutes – should be individuals with recognized medical qualification, expertise and training.
5. One legal expert or retired judge – should have a basic degree in law from a recognized university, with experience.
6. One social scientist/ representative of non-governmental voluntary agency – should be an individual with social/ behavioral science/ philosophy or expertise and be a sensitive to local cultural and moral values.
7. One philosopher/ ethicist/ theologian
8. One lay person from the community – literate person from the public or community, has not pursued a medical science/ health related career in last five years, is aware of the local language , cultural and moral values of the community.

A Sub-Board of the main IEC may review proposals submitted by undergraduate or post-graduate students or if necessary, an IEC may be separately constituted for the purpose, which will review proposals in the same manner as described above.

VII. Membership requirements:

1. All members will serve for a period of 3 years on renewable basis. New members will be Included in the IEC in such a way that there will be a mix of recently included members and members with some years of experience.
2. During the term, Dean in consultation with the Chairman can disqualify any member if, the contribution is not adequate and/or there is long period of (member) non availability.
3. A member can tender resignation of his office of membership from the IEC to the Dean Principal through the Chairperson after serving one-month advance notice.
4. Dean can replace the member of IEC as and when required.
5. Each member is required to sign the declaration and confidentiality agreement regarding IEC activities (Annexure 2)
6. Conflict of interest should be declared by members of the DDMCH-IEC prior to review meeting.
7. All the members should be trained and updated themselves with new /change of rules regarding conduct of biomedical research / Clinical trial rules in India.

Training:

- Members should be trained in human research protection, EC functions and SOPs, and should be conversant with ethical guidelines, GCP guidelines and relevant regulations of the country.
- EC members should undergo initial and continuing training in human research protections, applicable EC SOPs and related regulatory requirements.
- The Institution is taking part in CME especially under knowledge of EC member, regarding regulation and NDCT act.
- EC members should be aware of local, social and cultural norms and emerging ethical issues.

S. No.	Members of EC	Definition/description
1	<p>Chairperson/ Vice Chairperson (optional) Non-affiliated Qualifications – A well-respected person from any background with prior experience of having served/ serving in an EC</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Conduct EC meetings and be accountable for independent and efficient functioning of the committee. • Ensure active participation of all members (particularly non-affiliated, non-medical/ non- technical) in all discussions and deliberations. • Ratify minutes of the previous meetings. • In case of anticipated absence of both Chairperson and Vice Chairperson at a planned meeting, the Chairperson should nominate a committee member as Acting Chairperson or the members present may elect an Acting Chairperson on the day of the meeting. The Acting Chairperson should be a non-affiliated person and will have all the powers of the Chairperson for that meeting. • Seek COI declaration from members and ensure quorum and fair decision making. • Handle complaints against researchers, EC members, conflict of interest issues and requests for use of EC data, etc.
2	<p>Member Secretary/ Alternate Member Secretary (optional) Affiliated Qualifications –</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Should be a staff member of the institution • Should have knowledge and experience in clinical research and ethics, be motivated and have good communication skills • Should be able to devote adequate time to this activity which should be protected by the institution 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Organize an effective and efficient procedure for receiving, preparing, circulating and maintaining each proposal for review. • Schedule EC meetings, prepare the agenda and minutes. • Organize EC documentation, communication and archiving. • Ensure training of EC secretariat and EC members. • Ensure SOPs are updated as and when required. • Ensure adherence of EC functioning to the SOPs. • Prepare for and respond to audits and inspections. • Ensure completeness of documentation at the time of receipt and timely inclusion in agenda for EC review. • Assess the need for expedited review/ exemption from review or full review. Assess the need to obtain prior scientific review, invite independent consultant, patient or community representatives. • Ensure quorum during the meeting and record discussions and decisions.
3	<p>Basic Medical Scientist(s) Affiliated/ non-affiliated Qualifications –</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Non-medical or medical person with qualifications in basic medical sciences • In case of EC reviewing clinical trials with drugs, the basic medical scientist should preferably be a pharmacologist 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Scientific and ethical review with special emphasis on the intervention, benefit-risk analysis, research design, methodology and statistics, continuing review process, SAE, protocol deviation, progress and completion report • For clinical trials, pharmacologist to review the drug safety and pharmacodynamics.

<p>4</p>	<p>Clinician(s) Affiliated/ non-affiliated Qualifications – <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Should be individual/s with recognized medical qualification, expertise and training </p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Scientific review of protocols including review of the intervention, benefit-risk analysis, research design, methodology, sample size, site of study and statistics • Ongoing review of the protocol (SAE, protocol deviation or violation, progress and completion report) • Review medical care, facility and appropriateness of the principal investigator, provision for medical care, management and compensation. • Thorough review of protocol, investigators brochure (if applicable) and all other protocol details and submitted documents.
<p>5</p>	<p>Legal expert/s Affiliated/ non-affiliated Qualifications – <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Should have a basic degree in Law from a recognized university, with experience • Desirable: Training in medical law. </p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ethical review of the proposal, ICD along with translations, MoU, Clinical Trial Agreement (CTA), regulatory approval, insurance document, other site approvals, researcher’s undertaking, protocol specific other permissions, such as, stem cell committee for stem cell research, HMSC for international collaboration, compliance with guidelines etc. • Interpret and inform EC members about new regulations if any
<p>6</p>	<p>Social scientist/ philosopher/ ethicist/theologian Affiliated/ non-affiliated Qualifications – <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Should be an individual with social/ behavioural science/ philosophy/ religious qualification and training and/or expertise and be sensitive to local cultural and moral values. Can be from an NGO involved in health-related activities </p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ethical review of the proposal, ICD along with the translations. • Assess impact on community involvement, socio-cultural context, religious or philosophical context, if any • Serve as a patient/participant/ societal / community representative and bring in ethical and societal concerns.
<p>7</p>	<p>Lay person(s) Non-affiliated Qualifications – <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Literate person from the public or community • Has not pursued a medical science/ health related career in the last 5 years • May be a representative of the community from which the participants are to be drawn • Is aware of the local language, cultural and moral values of the community • Desirable: involved in social and community welfare activities </p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ethical review of the proposal, ICD along with translation(s). • Evaluate benefits and risks from the participant’s perspective and opine whether benefits justify the risks. • Serve as a patient/participant/ community representative and bring in ethical and societal concerns. • Assess on societal aspects if any

VIII. Quorum requirements:

Minimum of 50% of committee strength + 1 member and not less than 5 members will be required

to compose a quorum for the meeting of which at least one member will be from outside the institution, and one member will be a non-scientific member & one from opposite gender. All decisions will be taken in meetings and not by circulation of project proposals. Quorum will have 5 members with following representations:

- (a) Basic medical scientists (preferably one pharmacologist).
- (b) Clinicians
- (c) Legal expert
- (d) Social scientist / representative of non-governmental voluntary agency / philosopher / ethicist / theologian or a similar person
- (e) Lay person from the community.

IX. Conduct of IEC- DDMCH meetings:

The Chairperson will conduct all meetings of the DDMCH-IEC. In the absence of the chairperson an alternate Chairperson will be elected from the members by the members present, who will conduct the meeting. The Member Secretary is responsible for organizing the meetings, maintaining the records and communicating with all concerned. He / She will prepare the minutes of the meetings and get it approved by the Chairperson and all the members.

X. Independent consultants:

The DDMCH-IEC may call upon subject experts as independent consultants who may provide special review of selected research protocols, if need be. These experts may be specialists in ethical or legal aspects, specific diseases or methodologies, or represent specific communities, patient groups or special interest groups. E.g. Cancer patients, HIV/AIDS positive persons or ethnic minorities. They will be required to give their specialized views but should not take part in the decision making process which will be made by the members of the DDMCH-IEC.

XI. Application procedures:

1. All proposals should be submitted on any working day 2 weeks in advance of scheduled meeting in the prescribed application form, the details of which are given under "XII Documentation". Copy of SOP of DDMCH-IEC will be given to PI / Co-PI if he/she has applied for review for the 1st time.
2. All relevant documents should be enclosed with application form. (Documents will be available with Member - Secretary, DDMCH-IEC and Institutional Website in the Downloadable section).
3. Required number of copies of the proposal along with the application and documents in prescribed format duly signed by the Principal Investigator (PI) and Co-investigators / Collaborators / Research Scholars shall be guided to the Chairperson DDMCH IEC, through member secretary. In his absence via any person nominated by chairperson. Receipt of the application will be acknowledged by the IEC office.
4. Every application will be allotted an IEC registration number to be used for all future correspondence and reference. The date of DDMCH-IEC meeting will be intimated to the Principal Investigator to attend the meeting and to make a brief presentation of the proposal and to clarify the points raised by the members.
5. The decision of the committee on the proposal will be communicated in writing. If revision is to be made, the revised document in required number of copies should be submitted within a stipulated period of time as specified in the communication or before the next meeting.
6. All research proposals/clinical trials funded/sponsored by Pharmaceutical companies, Agencies, Multinationals etc. will be charged an administrative fee/ processing fee as specified by the Research Secretariat / Office of DDMCH-IEC. Waiver of these fees is permissible for non-funded studies, departmental studies, and studies funded by organizations like ICMR, UGC, DST Government of India, State Science & Technology Department, UNICEF, WHO, USAID. Non Profitable Organizations etc. In general, waiver of administrative fee is possible at the discretion of Chairperson, DDMCH-IEC.

XII. Documentation:

All Research proposals (5 copies along with 1 Soft copy) shall be submitted along with the information and documents as specified in Annexure-3 A, 3 B.

XIII. Review procedures:

1. The meeting of the DDMCH-IEC will be held on periodic intervals, i.e. 2nd Wednesday of every two months, unless otherwise specified by the member secretary. Additional review meetings can also be held with short notice as and when required. Meetings will be planned in accordance with the need of the work load.
2. The proposals should be sent to the DDMCH-IEC at least 2 weeks in advance of schedule meeting.
3. The IEC's member-secretary or secretariat shall screen the proposals for their completeness and depending on the risk involved categorize them into three types, namely, exemption from review, expedited review and full review (explanation is given below).
4. Decisions will be taken by consensus after discussion, and whenever needed voting will be done. Decision of chairperson will be final.
5. Researchers will be invited to offer clarifications if need be. The Principal investigator / Research Scholar will then present the proposal in person in the meeting. When the PI is not available due to unavoidable reasons the Co PI will present the proposal.
6. Independent consultants/experts will be invited to offer their opinion on specific research proposals if needed.
7. The decisions will be minuted and Chairperson's approval taken in writing.

1. Exemption from review

Proposals which present less than minimal risk fall under this category as may be seen in following situations:

- i. Research on educational practices such as instructional strategies or effectiveness of or the comparison among instructional techniques, curricula, or classroom management methods.

Exceptions:

- i. When research on use of educational tests, survey or interview procedures, or observation of public behavior can identify the human participant directly or through identifiers, and the disclosure of information outside research could subject the participant to the risk of civil or criminal or financial liability or psychosocial harm.
- ii. When interviews involve direct approach or access to private papers.

2. Expedited Review

The proposals presenting no more than minimal risk to research participants may be subjected to expedited review. The Member- Secretary and the Chairperson of the IEC or designated member of the Committee or Subcommittee of the IEC may do expedited review only if the protocols involve -

1. Minor deviations from originally approved research during the period of approval (usually of one year duration).
2. Revised proposal previously approved through full review by the IEC or continuing review of approved proposals where there is no additional risk or activity is limited to data analysis.
3. Research activities that involve only procedures listed in one or more of the following categories:

- a. Clinical studies of drugs and medical devices only when -

- i. research is on already approved drugs except when studying drug interaction or conducting trial on vulnerable population or
- ii. Adverse Event (AE) or unexpected Adverse Drug Reaction (ADR) of minor nature is reported.

4. Research involving clinical materials (data, documents, records, or specimens) that have been collected for non-research (clinical) purposes.

5. When in emergency situations like serious outbreaks or disasters a full review of the research is not possible, prior written permission of IEC may be taken before use of the test intervention. Such research can only be approved for pilot study or preliminary work to study the safety and efficacy of the intervention and **the same participants should not be included** in the clinical trial that may be initiated later based on the findings of the pilot study.

- a. Research on interventions in emergency situation

When proven prophylactic, diagnostic, and therapeutic methods do not exist or have been ineffective, physicians may use new intervention as investigational drug (IND) / devices/ vaccine to provide emergency medical care to their patients in life threatening conditions. Research in such instance of medical care could be allowed in patients -

- i. when consent of person/ patient/ responsible relative or custodian/ team of designated doctors for such an event is not possible. However, information about the intervention should be given to the relative/ legal guardian when available later;
- ii. When the intervention has undergone testing for safety prior to its use in emergency situations and sponsor has obtained prior approval of DCGI;
- iii. Only if the local IEC reviews the protocol since institutional responsibility is of paramount importance in such instances.
- iv. If Data Safety Monitoring Board (DSMB) is constituted to review the data;

- b. Research on disaster management

A disaster is the sudden occurrence of a calamitous event at any time resulting in substantial material damage, affecting persons, society, community or state(s). It may be periodic, caused by both nature and humans and creates an imbalance between the capacity and resources of the society and the needs of the survivors or the people whose lives are threatened, over a given period of time. It may also be unethical sometimes not to do research in such circumstances. Disasters create vulnerable persons and groups in society, particularly so in disadvantaged communities, and therefore, the following points need to be considered when reviewing such research:

- i. Research planned to be conducted after a disaster should be essential culturally sensitive and specific in nature with possible application in future disaster situations.
- ii. Disaster-affected community participation before and during the research is essential and its representative or advocate must be identified.
- iii. Extra care must be taken to protect the privacy and confidentiality of participants and communities.
- iv. Protection must be ensured so that only minimal additional risk is imposed.
- v. The research undertaken should provide direct or indirect benefits to the participants, the disaster-affected community or future disaster-affected population and *a priori* agreement should be reached on this, whenever possible, between the community and the researcher.
- vi. All international collaborative research in the disaster-affected area should be done with a local partner on equal partnership basis.
- vii. Transfer of biological material, if any, should be as per Government rules taking care of intellectual property rights issues.

6. Expedited review may also be taken up in cases of nationally relevant proposals requiring urgent review.

3. Full Review

All research presenting with more than minimal risk, proposals/ protocols which do not qualify for exempted or expedited review and projects that involve vulnerable population and special groups shall be subjected to full review by all the members.

While reviewing the proposals, the following situations may be carefully assessed against the existing facilities at the research site for risk/benefit analysis:

- a. Collection of blood samples by finger prick, heel prick, ear prick, or venipuncture:
 - i. from healthy adults and non-pregnant women who weigh normal for their age and not more than 500 ml blood is drawn in an 8 week period and frequency of collection is not more than 2 times per week;

- ii. from other adults and children, where the age, weight, and health of the participants, the collection procedure, the amount of blood to be collected, and the frequency with which it will be collected has been considered and not more than 50 ml or 3 ml per kg, whichever is lesser is drawn in an 8 week period and not more than 2 times per week;
- iii. from neonates depending on the hemodynamics, body weight of the baby and other purposes not more than 10% of blood is drawn within 48 – 72 hours. If more than this amount is to be drawn it becomes a risky condition requiring infusion/blood transfusion;
- iv. Prospective collection of biological specimens for research purposes by noninvasive means. For instance:
 1. Skin appendages like hair and nail clippings in a non-disfiguring manner;
 2. Dental procedures - deciduous teeth at time of exfoliation or if routine patient care indicates a need for extraction of permanent teeth; supra and sub-gingival dental plaque and calculus, provided the collection procedure is not more invasive than routine prophylactic scaling of the teeth;
 3. Excreta and external secretions (including sweat);
 4. Uncannulated saliva collected either in an unstimulated fashion or stimulated by chewing gum or by applying a dilute citric solution to the tongue;
 5. Placenta removed at delivery;
 6. Amniotic fluid obtained at the time of rupture of the membrane prior to or during labor;
 7. Mucosal and skin cells collected by buccal scraping or swab, skin swab, or mouth washings;
 8. Sputum collected after saline mist nebulization and bronchial lavages.
- b. Collection of data through noninvasive procedures routinely employed in clinical practice. Where medical devices are employed, they must be cleared/ approved for marketing, for instance
 - i. physical sensors that are applied either to the surface of the body or at a distance and do not involve input of significant amounts of energy into the participant or an invasion of the participant's privacy;
 - ii. Weighing or testing sensory acuity;
 - iii. Magnetic resonance imaging;
 - iv. Electrocardiography, echocardiography; electroencephalography, thermography, detection of naturally occurring radioactivity, electroretinography, ultrasound, diagnostic infrared imaging, Doppler blood flow,
 - v. moderate exercise, muscular strength testing, body composition assessment, and flexibility testing where appropriate given the age, weight, and health of the individual.
- c. Research involving clinical materials (data, documents, records, or specimens) that will be collected solely for non-research (clinical) purposes.
- d. Collection of data from voice, video, digital, or image recordings made for research purposes.

e. Research on individual or group characteristics or behavior not limited to research on perception, cognition, motivation, identity, language, communication, cultural beliefs or practices, and social behavior or research employing survey, interview, oral history, focus group, program evaluation, human factors evaluation, or quality assurance methodologies.

XIV. Aspects considered during review of research proposal.

1. Scientific design and conduct of the study.
2. Approval by appropriate scientific review committee's / Research committee.
3. Examination of predictable risks/harms
4. Examination of potential benefits.
5. Procedure for selection of subjects including inclusion / exclusion, withdrawal criteria and other issues like advertisement details.
6. Management of research related injuries, adverse events.
7. Compensation provisions.
8. Justification for placebo in control arm, if any
9. Availability of products, benefits to subjects after the study is completed if applicable.
10. Patient information sheet, informed consent form in English and in local languages.
11. Protection of privacy and confidentiality.
12. Involvement of the community, wherever necessary
13. Plans for data analysis and reporting.
14. Adherence to all regulatory requirements and applicable guidelines.
15. Competence of investigators, research and supporting staff.
16. Facilities and infrastructure of study sites.
17. Criteria for withdrawal of patients, suspending or premature termination of the study in Dharanidhar Medical College and Hospital, Keonjhar.

XV. Policy to monitor or prevent the Conflict of Interest

- Every EC member should sign a COI agreement before ethical review tasks of the EC commence
- EC members should disclose in writing to the member secretary/designee all real, potential, or perceived COI interest for themselves and their family members– spouse, children, friends, or their professional associates when submitting a proposal
- Such disclosure shall be sufficiently detailed and timely to allow the IEC administration to transfer the project to another IEC member or allow time for an alternate member to attend the IEC meeting to meet quorum
- If an investigator is a member of the EC, he/she cannot participate in the review and approval process for any project in which he/she is involved as principal investigator, investigator, co-investigator, or sub-investigator or has any other potential COI
- It will also be a best practice for the EC member who is the investigator to send another e-mail to the member secretary/designee to remind about his/her COI when the proposal comes up for EC deliberation
- At the beginning of each convened EC meeting, the chairperson/member-secretary will ask the EC members if anyone has a financial or nonfinancial COI with regard to any of the research projects on the agenda for reviewed at the meeting
- The chairperson/member-secretary should review disclosures, to determine whether a COI exists and to determine appropriate management of the COI
- Any EC member, who has COI in a clinical research project, should abstain from deliberations and the decision-making process, except to provide information as requested by the EC. Such abstentions should be documented in the minutes
- If any unanticipated COI affects quorum, that project proposal should not be discussed and should be deferred to the next scheduled meeting
- In case the member-secretary of the EC is principal investigator, investigator, co-investigator, or sub-investigator for project under discussion, he/she should declare COI and leave the meeting room. Another EC member nominated as Acting Member Secretary will perform the function of the secretary
- Care should also be taken that all queries (e.g., from patients, others) on the project during its life are managed by the acting member secretary
- In case of several projects being discussed in the meeting, the minutes should clearly delineate the projects where the member secretary had a COI and hence was not part of the decision-making process. Ideally, separate minutes for these projects should be issued with the acting member secretary signing the minutes
- The EC should not approve a clinical research study where a COI is not eliminated.

XVI. Decision-making:

1. Members will discuss the various issues before arriving at a consensus decision. When consensus is not arrived at, the decision will be made by voting procedure.
2. A member should withdraw from the meeting during the decision procedure concerning an application where a conflict of interest arises and this should be indicated to the chairperson prior to the review of the application and recorded in the minutes.
3. Decision will be made only in meetings where quorum is complete.
4. Only member can make the decision. The expert consultants will only offer their opinions.
5. Decision may be to approve, reject or revise the proposals. Specific suggestions for modifications and reasons for modifications and reasons for rejection will be given.
6. In cases of conditional decisions, clear suggestions for revision and the procedure for having the application revised will be specified.
7. Modified proposals will be reviewed by an expedited review through identified members.
8. Procedures for appeal by the researchers will be clearly defined.

XVII. Communicating the decision

1. Decision of the meeting on the proposals will be communicated by the Member Secretary in writing to the PI / Research Scholar **within 30 working days** after the meeting at which the decision was taken in the specified format (Annexure-5). A certificate of approval will be sent to the applicant within 4 weeks (Annexure-6). All the approvals will be valid for one year or for the duration of the project whichever is less. Investigator has to get his or her project re-approved after one year if necessary.
2. The communication of the decision will include:
 - a. Name and address of IEC.
 - b. The date, place and time of decision.
 - c. The name and designation of the applicant.
 - d. Title of the research proposal reviewed.
 - e. The clear identification of protocol no., version no., date, amendment no., date.
 - f. Along with protocol, other documents reviewed- Clear description of these documents along with Version No. and Date.
 - g. List of EC members who attended the meeting- clear description of their role, affiliation and gender.
 - h. A clear statement of decision reached.
 - i. Any advice by the IEC to the applicant including the schedule / plan of ongoing review by the DDMCH IEC
 - j. In case of conditional decision, any requirement by IEC, including suggestions for revision, and the procedure for having the application re-reviewed.

- k. In case of rejection of the proposal, reason(s) for the rejection will be clearly stated.
- l. Signature of the member secretary with date.

XVIII. Following up procedures for approved proposals by PI / Sponsor

1. IEC will review the progress of all the studies for which a positive decision has been reached from the time of decision till the termination of the research.
2. Progress of all the research proposals will be followed at a regular interval of at least once a year. But in special situations, IEC will conduct the follow up review at shorter intervals basing on the need, nature and events of research project.
3. Periodic status report of study should be submitted at prescribed intervals for review, along with information and documents as specified in Annexure-4A, 4B 4C & 7 based on the safety concerns and this prescribed interval should be specified in the Letter of Communication of Decision to the PI from the IEC.
4. Final report should be submitted at the end of study.
5. Following instances and events will require the follow-up review/ Renewed Approval:
 - a. Any protocol amendment likely to affect rights, safety or well-being of research subject of conduct of study.
 - b. Serious or unexpected ADR related to study or product, action taken by Investigator, Sponsor and Regulatory Authority.
 - c. Any event or information that may affect the benefit/risk ratio of the study.
6. Protocol deviation, if any, should be informed with adequate justifications.
7. Any new information related to the study should be communicated.
8. Premature termination of study shall be notified with reasons along with summary of the data obtained so far.
9. Change of investigators/sites must be informed to the office of IEC.
10. Monitoring: Oversight mechanism will be in place to monitor the approved studies. Actual site visits can be made especially in the event of reporting of adverse events or violations of human rights and appropriate action will be taken when required and communicated to the applicant indicating modification/suspension/termination /continuation of the project. In case the IEC desires so, reports of monitoring done by the sponsor and the recommendations of the DSMB may also be sought.
11. Applicant must inform the time of completion of study and must send the result summary to IEC. IEC must receive a copy of final summary of study completed from the applicant.

XIX. Responsibilities of Sponsor/Investigator. -

Responsibilities of Sponsor

- (i) The clinical trial Sponsor is responsible for implementing and maintaining quality assurance systems to ensure that the clinical trial is conducted and data generated,

documented and reported in compliance with the protocol and Good Clinical Practice (GCP) Guidelines issued by the Central Drugs Standard Control Organization, Directorate General of Health Services, Government of India as well as with all applicable statutory provisions. Standard operating procedures should be documented to ensure compliance with GCP and applicable regulations.

- (ii) Sponsors are required to submit a status report on the clinical trial to the Licensing Authority at the prescribed periodicity.
- (iii) In case of studies prematurely discontinued for any reason including lack of commercial interest in pursuing the new drug application, a summary report should be submitted within 3 months. The summary report should provide a brief description of the study, the number of patients exposed to the drug, dose and duration of exposure, details of adverse drug reactions (Annexure 7), if any, and the reason for discontinuation of the study or non-pursuit of the new drug application;
- (iv) Any report of serious adverse event of death occurring in clinical trial, after due analysis shall be forwarded by the sponsor to chairman of the ethics committee and chairman of the expert committee constituted by the licensing authority as defined under rule 21(b) under appendix XII of gazette notification dated 30th January 2013 with a copy of the report to the Licensing authority and the head of the Institution where the trial has been conducted within ten calendar days of occurrence of serious adverse event of death. The report of the serious adverse event other than death, after due analysis, shall be forwarded by the sponsor to the Licensing authority, Chairman of the Ethics Committee and the head of the Institution where the trial has been conducted within ten calendar days of occurrence of the serious adverse event. (See Annexure 7).
- (v) In case of injury or death occurring to the clinical trial subject, the sponsor (whether a pharmaceutical company or an Institution) or his representative, whosoever had obtained permission from the Licensing Authority for conduct of the clinical trial, shall make payment for medical management of the subject and also provide financial compensation for the clinical trial related injury or death in the manner as prescribed in Appendix XII of gazette notification dated 30th January 2013.
- (vi) The sponsor (whether a pharmaceutical company or an institution) or his representative, whosoever had obtained permission from the Licensing Authority for conduct of the clinical trial, shall submit details of compensation provided or paid for clinical trial related injury or death, to the Licensing Authority within thirty days of the receipt of the order of the Licensing Authority.

Responsibilities of the Investigator(s). -

- (1) The Investigator(s) shall be responsible for the conduct of the trial according to the protocol and the GCP Guidelines and also for compliance as per the undertaking given in Appendix VII of schedule Y. Standard operating procedures are required to be documented by the investigators for the tasks performed by them. During and following a subject's participation in a trial, the investigator should ensure that adequate medical care is provided to the participant for any adverse events. Investigator(s) shall report all serious and unexpected adverse events to the Licensing Authority defined under clause (B) of rule 21 (Schedule Y and Gazette notification 30th January 2013), the sponsor or his representative, whosoever had obtained permission from the licensing authority for conduct of the clinical trial, and the ethics committee that accorded approval to the study protocol, within twenty-four hours of their occurrence. The report of the serious adverse event of death, after due analysis shall be forwarded by the investigator to Chairman of the ethics committee and Chairman of the Expert Committee constituted by the Licensing authority under Appendix XII with a copy of the report to the Licensing Authority and the head of the institution where the trial has been conducted within ten calendar days of occurrence of the serious adverse event of death. The report of the serious adverse event other than death, after due analysis shall be forwarded to the Licensing Authority, Chairman of the Ethics Committee and the Head of the Institution where the trial has been conducted within ten calendar days of occurrence of the serious adverse event.
- (2) The investigator shall provide information to the clinical trial subject through informed consent process as provided in Appendix V of Schedule Y about the essential elements of the clinical trial and the subject's right to claim compensation in case of trial related injury or death. He shall also inform the subject or His/ Her nominee(s) of their rights to contact the sponsor or his representative whosoever had obtained permission from the Licensing Authority for conduct of the clinical trial for the purpose of making claims in the case of trial related injury or death.

XX. Record keeping and archiving at the office of DDMCH-IEC:

1. All the documents and communications of IEC will be dated, filed and archived in a secure place.
2. Only persons, who are authorized by the Chairman of IEC will have the access to the various documents.
3. All the documents related to research proposals will be archived for a minimum period of 3 years in the Institute, following the completion /termination of the study.
4. No document (except agenda) will be retained by any IEC member.
5. At the end of each meeting, every member must return the CD containing all the research proposals and documents to IEC office staff. They will archive one copy in IEC office and other copies will be destroyed after one year.
6. Following documents will be filed and archived with proper label on the top of file for easy identification
 - a. Constitution and composition of DDMCH-IEC
 - b. Curriculum Vitae (CV) of all members of DDMCH IEC with records of training in Humanethics if any.
 - c. Standard Operating Procedures of DDMCH-IEC.
 - d. Annual reports
 - e. A record of all income and expenses of the EC, including allowances and reimbursements made to the secretariat and EC members;
 - f. The published guidelines for submission established by the EC.
 - g. Copy of all study protocols with enclosed documents, progress reports, and SAEs.
 - h. Agendas and Minutes of all IEC meetings duly signed by the Chairperson / Member secretary.
 - i. Copy of all existing relevant national and international guidelines on ethics and laws along with amendments.
 - j. Copy of all correspondence with members, Principal Investigators and other regulatory bodies.
 - k. Record of all notification issued for premature termination of a study with a summary of the reasons;
 - l. Final report of the approved projects, including microfilms, CDs and Video recordings.

XXI.Updating DDMCH-IEC members:

1. All relevant new guidelines should be brought to the attention of the members.
2. The EC members should be encouraged to keep abreast of all national and international developments in ethics through orientation courses on related topics by its own members or regular training organized by constituted body/ (IEC), so that they become aware of their role and responsibilities. For drug trial review it is preferable to train the IEC members in Good Clinical Practice. Any change in the regulatory requirements should be brought to their attention and they should be aware of local, social and cultural norms, as this is the most important social control mechanism. This is needed for maintaining quality in ethical review

XXII.Terms of reference

Terms of reference will be maintained in the office of DDMCH-IEC. This includes

- A. Membership Requirements
- B. Terms of Appointment with reference to the duration of the term,
- C. The policy for removal, replacement, resignation procedure,
- D. Frequency of meetings, and
- E. Payment of processing fee to the IEC for review, honorarium/ consultancy to the members/ invited experts *etc.*

The SOPs will be updated periodically based on the changing requirements. The term of appointment of members could be extended for another term and a defined percentage of members could be changed on regular basis. Preferably, IEC would appoint persons trained in bioethics or persons conversant with ethical guidelines and laws of the country. Substitute member may be nominated if meetings have been continuously missed by a member due to illness or other unforeseen circumstances.

XXIII. ADMINISTRATION AND MANAGEMENT

A full time secretariat and space for keeping records is required for a well-functioning IEC. The members could be given a reasonable compensation for the time spared for reviewing the proposals. Reasonable fees can be charged to cover the expenses related to review and administrative processes for any third party (protocols submitted by researchers not employed by DDMCH-IEC submission as described in section XI Point No 6. There should be provision for allocating reasonable amount of funds for smooth functioning of the IEC.

XXIV. SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS / PROTECTION OF VULNERABLE POPULATION

While all the above requirements are applicable to biomedical research as a whole irrespective of the specialty of research, there are certain specific concerns pertaining to specialized areas of research which require additional safe guards / protection and specific considerations for the IEC to take note of. Examples of such instances are research involving children, pregnant and lactating women, vulnerable participants and those with diminished autonomy besides issues pertaining to commercialization of research and international collaboration. The observations and suggestions of IEC will be given in writing in unambiguous terms in such instances. ICMR guidelines as applicable will be followed for protection of vulnerable population.

The researchers must justify inclusion / exclusion of vulnerable population in the study. They must ensure that prospective participants are competent to give informed consent, or take consent of LAR when a prospective participant lacks the capacity to consent. During review, the Ethics Committee must ensure the above said points and see that they are adequately justified. The Ethics Committee can suggest additional safeguards, such as more frequent review and monitoring, including site visits. Only the Full committee should do initial and continuing review of such proposals involving vulnerable populations.

As per NDCT rules, 2019 audio – visual recording of inform consent process is mandatory in case of vulnerable participants in clinical trials of new chemicals entity / new molecular entity except for anti-HIV and anti-leprosy drug trials where audio recording would suffice. The protocol should justify the inclusion of vulnerable participants, ensure privacy and confidentiality, autonomy, risk minimization, equitable selection, compensation and determine the benefits of the research. The final version of the protocol should be approved at a full committee meeting.

EC play a key role in oversight and use of the bio and data repositories for research, scientific and public health programs. research proposals, which require bio repository services including material transfer and available data sets, should be reviewed by the EC, either an Institutional one or that of the bio repository.

Social and behavioral sciences research approaches are not always positivist and therefore articulation of hypothesis may not be possible at the beginning of the research. Instruments/documents are developed during the course of the research, are reflective and may keep changing as the research progresses. The EC must be kept informed about these changes and appropriate re-consent must be taken from participants .the researchers must take prior permission from the EC with justifiable reasons for audio/video recording of participants interviews.

Letter Ref. No:

Date:

From

The Dean & Principal
Dharanidhar Medical College &
Hospital, Keonjhar

To

Sub: Constitution of Institute Ethics Committee (Human studies) - Reg.

Dear Sir/ Madam,

On behalf of Dharanidhar Medical College & Hospital, Keonjhar. I request your concurrence for possible appointment as a member of Institute Ethics Committee DDMCH-IEC. Kindly send your written acceptance in the enclosed format and provide short curriculum vitae along with the acceptance letter.

On receipt of your acceptance, I shall send you the formal appointment letter.

Yours sincerely,

Signature:

Name:

APPOINTMENT ORDER

Dr./ Mr. / Mrs.: _____ Date: _____

I am pleased to appoint you as _____ of the Institutional Ethics Committee (IEC) (Human research) at Dharanidhar Medical College & Hospital, Keonjhar) w.e.f. _____ for a term of _____ year / months provided following conditions of appointment are met.

1. You should be willing to publicize your full name, profession & affiliation.
2. You are willing to record all reimbursement for work & expenses, if any, within or related to an EC & make it available to the public upon request.
3. You consent to sign confidentiality agreement between you & the IEC regarding meeting deliberations, applications, information on research participants, & related matters.

The renewal of your appointment will be by consensus & 1-month notice on either side will be necessary prior to resignation/ termination of appointment. Terms & Conditions regarding the resignation procedure, disqualification procedures, replacement procedures etc. may be found in the Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) of IEC, DDMCH, Keonjhar.

You will be paid a sum of Rs. _____ per sitting as Honorarium for your services rendered & as per the guidelines given in Terms of Reference-IEC, DDMCH, Keonjhar.

We sincerely hope your association with DDMCH-IEC will be fruitful to the Institute & the Community we serve.

Chairperson
(Name/Seal)
DDMCH-IEC

Signature of Appointee
(Name & Date)

From,

To

The Dean & Principal

Dharanidhar Medical College & Hospital, Keonjhar

Sub: Consent to be a member of Institute Ethics Committee (Human Studies) -
Ref: Your Letter No: dated:

Dear Madam,

In response to your letter stated above, I give my consent to become Chairperson of IEC of DDMCH, Keonjhar. I shall regularly participate in the IEC meeting to review and give my unbiased opinion regarding the ethical issues.

I shall be willing for my name, profession and affiliation to be published.

I shall not keep any literature or study related document with me after the discussion and final review.

I shall maintain all the research project related information confidential and shall not reveal the same to anyone other than project related personnel.

I herewith enclose my CV.

Thanking you,

Yours sincerely,

Signature _____

Name _____ Date:

Address:

Telephone No: (Off) (Res) email:

Dharanidhar Medical College & Hospital, Keonjhar, Odisha

Initial Review Submission Form for Research Proposal

1. Title of the research proposal
2. Name of the Principal Investigator with qualification and designation
3. Name of the Co-Investigator(s) with qualifications and designation
4. Name of the Institute / Hospital / Field area where research will be conducted
5. Forwarding letter from the Head of the Department / Institution / Guide.
6. Protocol of the proposed research: (includes and not limited to) clear research objectives, rationale for undertaking the investigations in human participants in the light of existing knowledge, inclusion and exclusion criteria for entry of participants. Precise description of methodology of the proposed research, including sample size (with justification), type of study design (observational, experimental, pilot, randomized, blinded etc.), intended intervention, dosages of drugs, route of administration, duration of treatment and details of invasive procedures if any, Plan to withdraw or withhold standard therapies in the course of research. Plan for statistical analysis of the study. Ethical issues in the study and plans to address these issues.
7. Proposal should be submitted with all relevant enclosures like proforma, case report forms, questionnaires, follow-up cards, participant recruitment procedures and brochures, if any, informed consent process, including patient information sheet and informed consent form in English and local language(s). Investigator's brochure for trial on drugs/ devices/ vaccines/ herbal remedies and statement of relevant regulatory clearances. Source of funding and financial requirements for the project.
8. For any drug / device trial, all relevant pre-clinical animal data and clinical trial data from other centers within the country / other countries, if available.
9. Usefulness of the project / trial
10. Expected 'benefits' to volunteers / community. 'Benefits' to other categories if any
11. Explain all anticipated 'risks' (adverse events, injury, discomfort) of the project. Efforts taken to minimize the 'risks'. Proposed compensation and reimbursement of incidental expenses and management of research related and unrelated injury/ illness during and after research period. Description of the arrangements for indemnity, if applicable in study-related injuries and description of the arrangements for insurance coverage for research participants, if applicable.

12. Agreement to report all Serious Adverse Events (SAE) to AIIMS BBSR -IEC.
13. Other financial issues including those related to insurance.
14. An account of storage and maintenance of all data collected during the trial.
15. Research proposals approval by scientific advisory committee
16. For international collaborative study details about foreign collaborators and documents for review of Health Ministry's Screening Committee(HMSC) or appropriate Committees under other agencies/ authority like Drug Controller General of India (DCGI)
17. For exchange of biological material in international collaborative study a MoU/ Material Transfer Agreement between the collaborating partners.
18. Statement of conflicts of interest, if any.
19. Agreement to comply with the relevant national and applicable international guidelines, Good Clinical Practices (GCP) protocols for clinical trials.
20. All significant previous decisions (e.g., those leading to a negative decision or modified protocol) by other ECs or regulatory authorities for the proposed study (whether in the same location or elsewhere) and an indication of the modification(s) to the protocol made on that account. The reasons for negative decisions should be provided
21. A statement on, probable ethical issues and steps taken to tackle the same like justification for washout of standard drug, or the use of placebo control.
22. Curriculum vitae of all the investigators with relevant publications in last five years.
23. Plans for publication of results / positive or negative / while maintaining the privacy and confidentiality of the study participants.
24. Any other information relevant to the study.
25. Signature of the Principal Investigator with date.

Note: The above information and enclosures should be furnished wherever necessary depending upon the nature of study proposal

**FORMAT FOR SUBMISSION OF PROJECTS INVOLVING RESEARCH IN
HUMAN SUBJECTS FOR CLEARANCE BY ETHICS COMMITTEE
OF DDMCH, KEONJHAR**

Submit five (5) copies of the Research Project along with Covering letter and ‘soft copy’ on email along with a pendrive with the following information to the Member Secretary, Institution Ethics Committee at Room No. _____ DDMCH, KEONJHAR, Tel No. _____. The Principle Investigator must submit protocol forwarded through the Head of Department.

No research project shall be / can be started unless ethics clearance/approval is obtained. Please bear in mind that no retrospective / post facto ethical clearance can be provided to research projects which were neither submitted nor wetted by the Institution Ethics Committee.

All submissions should be made in the prescribed Format of the **Institution Ethics Committee** with signatures of all the investigators. The submission must be accompanied with *Participant Informed Consent Form (PICF)* and *Participant Information Sheet (PIS)*, both in English and Hindi/Concerned local Language, **in a simple layman’s language, in a narrative form, directed to Participant /LAR, covering all the points given on the website**, before it can be considered for placing before the Institution Ethics Committee. Also ensure that all the pages are numbered.

Project Submission Time: Submissions will be received on all working days. Proposals received till 15th of preceding month will be processed in the coming Institution Ethics Committee meeting and those received after 15th will be processed in the next Institution Ethics Committee meeting. All meetings of Institution Ethics Committee will be held as far as possible on first Monday of Jan, March, May, July, September, and November. The frequency will change depending upon the Load and will be updated on the website: www.aiimsbhubaneswar.edu.in

While submitting replies raised by the Institution Ethics Committee, the candidates are advised to mention the Institution Ethics Committee reference number/s and also attach a copy of the comments of the Institution Ethic Committee. Moreover, if the approval is required in a particular format, the same may be submitted in a CD.

Amendment Submission: While submitting amendments in protocols a covering letter should be provided clearly stating the changes and a certificate by the PI that the changes made in the protocol will not hamper the safety of the subject in anyway.

**Form to be filled by the Principal Investigator (PI) for
submission to Institutional Ethics Committee (IEC), DDMCH**
(For attachment to each copy of the proposal)

Serial No of IEC Management Office:
--

Proposal Title:

	Name, Designation, Department & Qualifications	Address Tel & Fax Nos. Email ID	No of projects already with Investigator	Signature
PI				
Co-PI / Collaborators				
1.				
2.				
3.				
4.				
5.				
6.				
	Please attach detailed Curriculum Vitae of all Investigators (with subject specific publications limited to previous 5 years).			

Tick appropriately:

Sponsor Information:				
1.India	a) Government	Central	State	Institutional
	b) Private			
2.International	Government	Private	UN agencies	
3.Industry	National	Multinational		
Contact Address of Sponsor:				
Total Budget:				
Who will bear the cost of investigation/implants drugs / contrasts?	1. Patients	2. Project	3. Exempted	
	4. Other Agencies(Name)	_____		

1.Type of study:	Cross sectional,	Case control,	cohort,	Clinical Trial,	Review
Participating Centre:	Single Center,	Multi-centric,	Other(Specify)		
2.Status of Review:	New,	Revised			
3.Clinical Trials:					
Drugs/Vaccines/Device/Herbal Remedies:					
i. Does the study involve use of:					
	Drug,	Devices,	Vaccines		
	Indian System of Medicine/ Alternate System of Medicine	Any other,	NA		
ii. Is it approved and marked					
	In India	UK & Europe	USA		
	Other countries, specify				
iii. Does it involve a change in use, dosage, route of Administration?		Yes	No		
If yes, whether DCGI's / Any other Regulatory Authority's Permission is obtained?		Yes	No		
If yes, Date of permission:					
iv. Is it an Investigational New Drug?		Yes	No		
If yes, IND No :					
a) Investigator's Brochure Submitted		Yes	No		
b) In vitro studies data		Yes	No		
c) Preclinical Studies done		Yes	No		
d) Clinical Study is:	Phase I,	Phase II,	Phase III,	Phase IV	

e). Are you aware if this study/similar study is being done elsewhere? If Yes, attach details	Yes	No
4. Brief description of the proposal – Introduction, review of literature, aim(s) & objectives, justification for study, methodology describing the potential risks & benefits, outcome measures, statistical analysis and whether it is of national significance with rationale (Attach sheet with maximum 500 words):		
5. Subject selection:		
i. Number of Subjects :		
ii. Duration of study :		
iii. Will subjects from both sexes be recruited	Yes	No
iv. Inclusion / exclusion criteria given	Yes	No
v. Type of subjects	Volunteers <input type="checkbox"/>	Patients <input type="checkbox"/>
vi. Vulnerable subjects (Tick the appropriate boxes)	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
pregnant women <input type="checkbox"/>	children <input type="checkbox"/>	elderly <input type="checkbox"/>
fetus <input type="checkbox"/>	illiterate <input type="checkbox"/>	handicapped <input type="checkbox"/>
terminally ill <input type="checkbox"/>	seriously ill <input type="checkbox"/>	mentally challenged <input type="checkbox"/>
economically & socially backward <input type="checkbox"/>	any other <input type="checkbox"/>	
vii. Special group subjects (Tick the appropriate boxes)	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
captives <input type="checkbox"/>	institutionalized <input type="checkbox"/>	employees <input type="checkbox"/>
students <input type="checkbox"/>	nurses/dependent <input type="checkbox"/>	armed <input type="checkbox"/>
any other <input type="checkbox"/>	staff <input type="checkbox"/>	forces <input type="checkbox"/>
6. Privacy and confidentiality		
i. Study involves -	Direct Identifiers <input type="checkbox"/>	
	Indirect Identifiers/coded <input type="checkbox"/>	
	Completely anonymized/ delinked <input type="checkbox"/>	
ii. Confidential handling of data by staff	Yes	No
7. Use of biological/ hazardous materials		
i. Use of fetal tissue or abortus	Yes	No
ii. Use of organs or body fluids	Yes	No
iii. Use of recombinant/gene therapy	Yes	No
If yes, has Department of Biotechnology (DBT) approval for rDNA products been obtained?	Yes	No
iv. Use of pre-existing/stored/left over samples	Yes	No
v. Collection for banking/future research	Yes	No

vi. Use of ionizing radiation/ radioisotopes If yes, has Bhaba Atomic Research Centre (BARC) approval for Radioactive Isotope Been obtained?	Yes Yes	No No
vii. Use of Infectious/ bio hazardous specimens	Yes	No
viii. Proper disposal of material	Yes	No
ix. Will any sample collected from the patients be sent abroad? IF Yes, justify with details of collaborators	Yes	No
a) Is the proposal being submitted for clearance from Health Ministry's Screening Committee(HMSC) for International collaboration?	Yes	No
b) Sample will be sent abroad because (Tick the appropriate boxes) Facility not available in India Facility in India inaccessible Facility available but not being accessed If so, reasons...		
8. Consent: *Written Oral Audio-visual		
i. Consent form: (tick the included elements)		
Understandable language Statement that study involves research Sponsor of study Purpose and procedures Risks & Discomforts Benefits Compensation for participation Compensation for study related injury	Alternatives to participation Confidentiality of records Contact information Statement that consent is voluntary Right to withdraw Consent for future use of biological material Benefits if any on future commercialization e.g. genetic basis for drug development	
*If written consent is not obtained, give reasons :		
ii. Who will obtain consent?	PI/ Co-PI Research staff	Nurse/ Counsellor Any other
9. Will any advertising be done for recruitment of Subjects? (posters, flyers, brochure, websites – if so kindly attach a copy)	Yes	No

10. Risks & Benefits. i. Is the risk reasonable compared to the anticipated benefits to subjects / community / country?	Yes	No
ii. Is there physical / social / psychological risk / discomfort? If Yes, Minimal or no risk More than minimum risk High risk	Yes	No

iii. Is there a benefit a) To the subject? b) Direct Indirect Benefit to society		
11. Data Monitoring i. Is there a data & safety monitoring committee / Board (DSMB) ?	Yes	No
ii. Is there a plan for reporting of adverse events? If Yes, reporting is done to: a) Sponsor b) Ethics Committee c) DSMB	Yes	No
iii. Is there a plan for interim analysis of data?	Yes	No
iv. Are there plans for storage and maintenance of all trial Database? If Yes, for how long ?	Yes	No
12. Is there a compensation for participation? <u>If Yes</u> a) Monetary b) Any kind Specify amount and time	Yes	No
13. Is there a compensation for Injury? <u>If Yes</u> a) By Sponsor b) Investigator c) Insurance d) Any other company	Yes	No
14. Do you have conflict of Interest? Financial / Non-financial <u>If Yes</u> Specify	Yes	No
Conflict of Interest for any other Investigator (s)? <u>If Yes Explain</u>	1. Yes / No 2. Yes / No 3. Yes / No 4. Yes / No	
15: Participants Information sheet provided? Mark √ if Yes	1. English Version 2. Odia Version 3. Certify that odia version is a true translation of English version	

DDMCH, KEONJHAR

Ongoing Approved Research Review Submission Form

1. Reference number
2. Month / Year of approval
3. Number of ongoing review
4. Title of the research proposal
5. Name of the Principal Investigator (PI) with qualification and designation
6. Name of the Co-investigator(s) (Co-PI) with qualification and designation
7. Duration of the Project
8. Source of funding & financial allocation for the project / trial
9. Has subject recruitment begun?
10. If subject recruitment has not begun, give reasons and proceed to No:20
11. How many subjects have been screened?
12. How many subjects have been recruited?
13. How many more to be recruited
14. Is subject recruitment continuing?
15. Are there any 'drop outs'?
16. Are subjects still receiving active intervention?
17. Have there been any adverse events? If yes, give details
18. Have there been any Serious Adverse Events adverse events? If yes, give details.
19. Have there been any unanticipated study-related problems?
20. Is there any new risk or benefit information? If yes, give details.
21. Are there any interim changes to the protocol or consent form? If yes, give details including submission of revised protocol and consent form for approval
22. Does the scientific literature indicate changes in knowledge relevant to the conduct of the study?
23. List of attachments for review, if any
24. Remarks, if any
25. Signature of the Principal Investigator with date.

Note: The above information and enclosures should be furnished wherever necessary depending upon the nature of study proposal.

Institute Ethics Committee, DDMCH, KEONJHAR

Format for submission of revised/additional documents, protocols and information regarding already approved projects to be submitted by the Principal Investigator (PI)
(Two copies of this form along with the revised documents to be submitted)

1. IEC Reference No:

2. Approval Date and Number:

3. Title:

4. Principal Investigator:

5. Purpose of this submission:

6. New documents being submitted: Please list the documents being submitted along with the differences from the previously approved documents in a tabular form as below:

S. No.	List of Documents being submitted	List the modifications/revisions made from previously approved proposal, wherever applicable

Place:

Date:

Signature PI/Collaborator _____

Name:

Six monthly progress of Project

Institute Ethics Committee Reference No. _____

Study title: _____

Name of the Principal Investigator _____

Designation / Department _____

Duration of Study _____

Date of Starting of the Study _____

Period of Six monthly progress report: from _____ to _____

<p>Progress:</p> <p>Side Effect if any:</p> <p>Amendments if any:</p> <p>Discontinuation reasons:</p> <p>Progress:</p>
--

Signature of Principal Investigator _____

Date: _____

Communication of Decision of the Institutional Ethics Committee (IEC)**IEC No:**

Protocol title:
Principal Investigator:
Name & Address of Institution:
<input type="checkbox"/> New review <input type="checkbox"/> Revised review <input type="checkbox"/> Expedited review
Date of review (D/M/Y):
Date of previous review, if revised application:
Decision of the IEC/ IRB:
<input type="checkbox"/> Recommended <input type="checkbox"/> Recommended with suggestions <input type="checkbox"/> Revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejected
Suggestions/ Reasons/ Remarks:
Recommended for a period of :

Please note *

- **Inform IEC immediately in case of any adverse events and serious adverse events.**
- **Inform IEC in case of any change of study procedure, site and investigator**
- **This permission is only for period mentioned above. Annual report to be submitted to IEC**
- **Members of IEC have right to monitor the trial with prior intimation.**

Signature of Member Secretary
IEC, DDMCH, Keonjhar



**Dharanidhar Medical College & Hospital Ethics
Committee for human studies**

No. IEC.

Date:

IEC APPROVAL NOTICE

To: [Name], Principal Investigator

Date:

Re: IEC Proposal No. _____: [Title]

I am pleased to inform you that at the convened meeting of _____ the IEC voted to approve/ approve an amendment, and to re-approve (renewal approval of the protocol and the consent form(s) is for 12 months) the above referenced protocol. As Principal Investigator, you are responsible for fulfilling the following requirements of approval:

1. All co-investigators must be kept informed of the status of the project.
2. Changes, amendments, and addenda to the protocol or the consent form must be submitted to the IEC for re-review and approval **prior** to the activation of the changes. The IEC number assigned to the project should be cited in any correspondence.
3. Adverse events should be reported to the IEC. New information that becomes available which could change the risk: benefit ratio must be submitted promptly for IEC review. The IEC and outside agencies must review the information to determine if the protocol should be modified, discontinued, or continued as originally approved.
4. Only approved consent forms are to be used in the enrollment of participants. All consent forms signed by subjects and/or witnesses should be retained on file. The IRB may conduct audits of all study records, and consent documentation may be part of such audits.
5. DDMCH-IEC Office require review of an approved study not less than once per 12-month period. **Therefore, a continuing review application must be submitted to the IEC in order to continue the study beyond the approved period.** Failure to submit a continuing review application in a timely fashion will result in termination of the study, at which point new participants may not be enrolled and currently enrolled participants must be taken off the study.

Sincerely,

Member Secretary, IEC

Chairperson, IEC

Data Elements for reporting serious adverse events occurring in a clinical trial*1. Patient Details*

Initials & other relevant identifier (hospital/OPD record number etc.)

*Gender

Age and/or date of birth

Weight

Height

2. Suspected Drug(s)

Generic name of the drug*

Indication(s) for which suspect drug was prescribed or tested

Dosage form and strength

Daily dose and regimen (specify units - e.g., mg, ml, mg/kg)

Route of administration

Starting date and time of day

Stopping date and time, or duration of treatment

3. Other Treatment(s)

Provide the same information for concomitant drugs (including nonprescription/OTC drugs) and non-drug therapies, as for the suspected drug(s).

4. Details of Suspected Adverse Drug Reaction(s)

Full description of reaction(s) including body site and severity, as well as the criterion (or criteria) for regarding the report as serious. In addition to a description of the reported signs and symptoms, whenever possible, describe a specific diagnosis for the reaction. *

Start date (and time) of onset of reaction

Stop date (and time) or duration of reaction

Dechallenge and rechallenge information

Setting (e.g., hospital, out-patient clinic, home, nursing home)

5. Outcome

Information on recovery and any sequelae; results of specific tests and/or treatment that may have been conducted

For a fatal outcome, cause of death and a comment on its possible relationship to the suspected reaction; Any post-mortem findings.

Other information: anything relevant to facilitate assessment of the case, such as medical history including allergy, drug or alcohol abuse; family history; findings from special investigations etc.

*6. Details about the Investigator**

Name

Address

Telephone number

Profession (specialty)

Date of reporting the event to Licensing Authority:

Date of reporting the event to Ethics Committee overseeing the site:

Signature of the Investigator

*Note: Information marked * must be provided."*